

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,810	KHAN, RAFAY	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Carramah J. Quiet	2622	

All Participants:

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

(1) Carramah J. Quiet, Patent Examiner. (3) _____.

(2) Adil M. Musabji, Applicant's Representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2011

Time: 03:13 pm EST.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

25 AND 31

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carramah J Quiet/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2622

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Musabji returned Ms. Quiet's call regarding a proposed Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner suggested changing claim 31 to correspond to claim 25 in order to place the application in a condition for allowance. Also, the Examiner suggested adding the term "non-transitory" to claim 25 in order alleviate any possible 101 issues. Mr. Musabji agreed to the Examiner's Amendment. Please see the attached Examiner's Amendment.